

1 *Submitting counsel on signature page.*

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5  
6 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

7 **IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
8 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
9 LITIGATION**

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB-LJC

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS  
PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. § 1681B(A)(1)**

Magistrate Judge: Honorable Lisa J. Cisneros

10 This Document Relates to:

11 All Cases

12 **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, AND TO**  
13 **CHECKR, INC. AND ITS COUNSEL:**

14 Please take notice that on a date and time to be set by the Court, before the Honorable Lisa J.  
15 Cisneros in Courtroom G on the 15th Floor of the San Francisco Courthouse for the above-entitled  
16 Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Plaintiffs by and through the  
17 undersigned counsel, will and hereby do, move the Court for an Order pursuant to 15 U.S.C.  
18 § 1681b(a)(1) for disclosure of consumer reports furnished to Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. by  
19 third party Checkr, Inc. in connection with the Uber rides business:

21 **BACKGROUND**

22 Through Defendant Fact Sheet (DFS) discovery, Uber was required to produce background  
23 checks it obtained for the Uber drivers who Plaintiffs allege sexually harassed and assaulted them  
24 during Uber rides (collectively, the “subject drivers”). PTO 10. However, despite Uber’s purported  
25 policy of obtaining background checks for all drivers on an annual basis, Uber’s productions did not  
26 include background checks for all drivers, for every year. Indeed, Plaintiffs considered Uber’s DFS  
27 production substantially deficient. *See, e.g.*, ECF 2849 at 5–6. To ensure that they had a full and  
28

complete record of all background checks performed on subject drivers on Uber’s behalf, counsel for bellwether plaintiffs issued third party subpoenas to the entities that Uber used to perform background checks on its drivers, Checkr, Inc. (“Checkr”). Hoefs Decl. Ex. A, Checkr’s Objections and Responses to Bellwether Plaintiffs’ Subpoenas. Subpoenas were served on Checkr in connection with the following cases:

Case Number	Plaintiff
3:24-cv-07019	LCHB128
3:23-cv-06708	Jaylynn Dean
3:24-cv-07940	B.L.
3:24-cv-07821	A. R. 2
3:23-cv-04972	C.L.
3:24-cv-05027	WHB 1898
3:24-cv-01915	A.G.
3:24-cv-01827	T.R. for A.R. [A.R.1]
3:24-cv-09217	T. L.
3:24-cv-05028	WHB 407
3:24-cv-04889	WHB 318
3:24-cv-04900	WHB 832
3:24-cv-08783	Jane Doe QLF 001
3:24-cv-05281	K.E.
3:24-cv-06669	Jane Roe CL 68
3:24-cv-04803	WHB 1486
3:24-cv-08937	Amanda Lazio
3:24-cv-05230	WHB 1876
3:24-cv-07228	D. J.
3:24-cv-03335	J.E.

Each subpoena that the bellwether plaintiffs served on Checkr contained an identical Request 1:

Please produce all documents YOU generated relating in any way to the Subject Driver, whether or not shared with Uber, including but not limited to the complete background check and any background check re-runs.

*See id.* The “Subject Driver,” or the driver who had committed sexually assaulted or harassed the bellwether plaintiff during the Uber ride that forms the basis of her lawsuit, was identified

1 individually in each subpoena. Requests 2 and 3 have been fully resolved and no documents or  
2 other information are outstanding to Plaintiffs from or for Checkr.

### 3 ARGUMENT

4 Checkr is a consumer reporting agency that generated consumer reports (i.e., background  
5 checks reports) on the Uber's drivers within the meaning of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA),  
6 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), (f) on behalf of Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. in  
7 connection with its rides business. Accordingly, Checkr "may furnish a consumer report" under the  
8 circumstances delineated by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a) "and no other." These circumstances include  
9 furnishing a report "in response to the order of a court." 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(1); *Young v. Trans*  
10 *Union*, 616 Fed.Appx. 301, 302 (9th Cir. 2015) ("consumer reporting agencies may furnish consumer  
11 reports in response to a proper court order"). In response to Request 1, Checkr stated among other  
12 things it "will produce its consumer reports for Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") regarding the  
13 Driver, if any, if the Court first enters an appropriate order." Hoefs Decl. Ex. A. Here, the background  
14 checks performed on Uber's drivers, including through the use of third party vendor Checkr are  
15 central to Plaintiffs' negligence claims, among others. *See* MCL ¶¶ 17, 160, 163, 165–66, 216, 365.  
16 Plaintiffs have sought complete records of the background checks performed from Uber through party  
17 discovery but because Uber has not produced all background checks for all drivers, for all years of activity,  
18 Plaintiffs need to obtain the background checks directly from the background check companies, which, in  
19 turn, requires a Court order. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(1). Plaintiffs have provided Checkr's counsel with  
20 a copy of this Motion and Proposed Order and Checkr does not oppose this Motion for an order authorizing  
21 Checkr to produce copies of the reports for these specific drivers. (Checkr has otherwise reserved its  
22 rights, privileges and objections, and takes no position on Plaintiffs' statement about what Plaintiffs  
23 characterize as deficiencies in Uber's productions to date.)

### 24 CONCLUSION

1 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an Order  
2 authorizing third party Checkr, Inc. to produce consumer reports for the Subject Drivers in the  
3 identified cases which were generated by Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. in connection with the  
4 Uber rides business.

5  
6  
7 Dated: June 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

8 By: /s/ Sarah R. London

Sarah R. London (SBN 267083)  
**GIRARD SHARP LLP**  
601 California St., Suite 1400  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Telephone: (415) 981-4800  
[slondon@girardsharp.com](mailto:slondon@girardsharp.com)

9  
10  
11  
12 By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams

Rachel B. Abrams (SBN 209316)  
**PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE  
CONWAY & WISE, LLP**  
555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 426-5641  
Facsimile: (415) 840-9435  
[rabrams@peifferwolf.com](mailto:rabrams@peifferwolf.com)

13  
14  
15  
16  
17 By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana

Roopal P. Luhana  
**CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP**  
600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
Telephone: (888) 480-1123  
Facsimile: (888) 499-1123  
[luhana@chaffinluhana.com](mailto:luhana@chaffinluhana.com)

18  
19  
20  
21  
22 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

I am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that the signatories above concurred in this filing.

Dated: June 11, 2025

By: */s/ Samantha Hoefs*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Samantha Hoefs